

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,754                    | 08/26/2003    | Christopher Scott Forbes |                       | 2293             |
| 75                            | 90 09/19/2005 |                          | EXAMINER              |                  |
| Mr. Christopher S. Forbes     |               |                          | STAICOVICI, STEFAN    |                  |
| 5215 96th Stree<br>Tacoma, WA |               |                          | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |
| <b>,</b>                      |               |                          | 1732                  | •                |
|                               |               | DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005  |                       |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/647,754  |  | TOPHER SCOTT |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE - SALL  | Stefan Staicovici   | 1732   |              |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | Idress       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONET | N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. & 133) |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  | :            |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De   | ecember 2003.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | action is non-final.  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.  |              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicati  | on.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |  | •            |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 8/26/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  | -   | ` '  | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |   |  | • •          |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  | ·            |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).   |              |  |  |  |
|  | s have been received  |  |              |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |              |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  |   |  | ·            |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa   | ite<br>atent Application (PT0                                | )-152)       |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   | •  | ,            |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/647,754

Art Unit: 1732

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**Specification** 

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should not refer to

purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the

invention with the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, lines 12,

the limitations of claim 1 should be included in the specification or the reference to claim 1

should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities: a claim should be a

single phrase beginning with a capital letter and ending with a period. Appropriate correction is

required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Page 2

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first layer" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the depressions and details" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dixon et al. (US Patent No. 5,013,278) in view of Jarboe et al. (US Patent No. 4,960,622).

Dixon et al. ('278) teach the basic claimed process for making three-dimensional features for a diorama by molding a latex material (see col. 3, lines 25-26).

Regarding claims 1-2, although Dixon et al. ('278) teach latex molding, Dixon et al. ('278) do not specifically teach the individual molding process steps. Jarboe et al. ('622) teach a process for molding an impression of a rock including, applying a first layer of uncured latex onto a mold (rock), applying a fabric (gauze) onto said first uncured latex layer, applying a second layer of uncured latex onto said fabric layer, curing said latex material and stripping said rock impression from said mold (see Abstract). It is submitted that the uncured latex material seeps through the fabric in order to form a composite. Therefore, it would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 1732

one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the process of Jarboe et al. ('622) to mold the three-dimensional features for the diorama obtained by the process of Dixon et al. ('278) because, Jarboe et al. ('622) specifically teach an efficient molding process for molding a latex diorama, whereas Dixon et al. ('278) suggests known molding methods of a diorama, hence requiring the teachings of Jarboe et al. ('622) to function as described.

## Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1732

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 1732

September 14, 2005